



INSTITUTE
OF POLITICAL STUDIES
Faculty of Social Sciences
Charles University

Connaissance de l'Europe médiane
(association de recherche n° 307)



CALL FOR PAPERS

Conservative mobilizations in Central Eastern Europe in transnational perspective

International Conference
8-9 December 2022, CEFRES, Prague

French Research Center in Humanities and Social Sciences – Prague (UAR 3138 CNRS), Prague
Institute of Political Studies, Faculty of Social Sciences, Charles University, Prague
Groupement de recherche n° 3607 du CNRS, Connaissance de l'Europe médiane

Organizers: Anemona Constantin, Valentin Behr

From Viktor Orbán in Hungary to Jarosław Kaczyński in Poland, from Andrej Babiš and Miloš Zeman in the Czech Republic to Robert Fico in Slovakia, a wide range of political leaders and parties are questioning the "liberal consensus"¹ at present. Since 2004 and the enlargement of the EU to the East, the disagreements of the newcomers with certain European policies and values regarding the situation of refugees, abortion, gay marriage, gender studies or global warming, have steadily mounted. Political scientists coined different concepts to describe and make sense of this intriguing phenomenon, including "illiberal turn" (Laruelle, 2022), "populist *Zeitgeist*" (Mudde, 2004), "authoritarian regression" (Heurtaux, 2022), "backsliding of democracy" (Bermeo, 2016), and "conservative revolution" (Zalewski, 2016).

Beyond the political realm, critiques of the "liberal consensus" aroused from the extra-partisan arena, carried out by collective actors such as associations for the defense of the "traditional family", pro-life movements, religious organizations (Orthodox, Catholic, Baptist or Pentecostal), opponents to gay marriage, intellectuals aiming to "rebuild a Europe of nations" and journalists who write against "sexo-Marxism", "progressivism" or "Islamism-leftism".

Furthermore, far from being specific to few Central Eastern European countries, these discourses are also to be found in Western Europe as well as in Northern and Latin America.

¹ By "liberal consensus" we understand discourses that have accepted and promoted human rights (including minority rights and tolerance towards cultural, religious and gender diversity), market economy, the rule of law, and European integration (Mark et. al., 2019).

Given the variety of these transnational mobilizations, one of the main questions this conference addresses, is to what extent the critique of the "liberal consensus" is to be taken for granted and considered as a consolidated ideology at the global level.

Organizers welcome communications focusing on "conservative mobilizations" (Agrikoliansky & Collovald, 2014), and those who are going beyond the traditional separation between social movements, political fields, and the history of political ideas. Papers reflecting upon the "intermediate" spaces of production², reception and circulation of conservative ideas at national and transnational levels, are particularly welcome.

Theme 1: Transnational circulations of conservative ideas and of repertoires of collective action

Several factors have been put forward to explain the emergence of conservative mobilizations in CEE: the unfinished integration of these countries into the "two-speed Europe" (Mark, 2019), the effects of the 2008-9 economic crisis (Ghodsee, 2014), the deceptions following a long transition that often felt to be unachieved (Kalb & Halmai, 2011), and so forth. Some commentators have seen these phenomena as a return to authoritarianism in a part of Europe considered culturally unfit for democracy and exposed to "illiberal" drifts due to its communist past (Rupnik, 2017). Without disregarding these analyses that tend sometimes to essentialize the cultural and historical specificities of the region, this conference wishes to broaden the reflection on the conservative mobilizations by favoring the sociological approaches that focus on the interactions between collective actors (e.g., groups of intellectuals, political parties, and civil society actors) from CEE countries and their counterparts from Western Europe, North America, or Latin America.

Researchers looking at the transnational circulation of activist repertoires of action (Vaillant, 2021), or studying the "neutral sites" [lieux neutres] (Bourdieu & Boltanski, 1976) of socialization and exchange, whether institutionalized or informal, such as the European Parliament, transnational civil society networks, conferences, and conservative platforms, are invited to apply.

Theme 2: Production and reception of conservative ideas and repertoires within intermediary spaces

This conference aims also to reflect upon the intermediary spaces of production and reception of conservative ideas and repertoires of action at the national level. Papers questioning how these temporary or permanent arenas emerge and develop at the intersection of the political arena, the intellectual field and the space of social movements are eagerly awaited. Contributions could examine the intervention of conservative intellectuals in politics or collective action, through expertise, activism or informal or institutionalized consulting activities (think tanks, media), or study how the conservative ideologies and repertoires of action build their legitimacy within the public sphere. Papers focusing on the reception and uses of conservative ideas by the political parties or their leaders, or on the transposition of conservative ideologies into political programs, speeches, or legislative proposals, will fully find their place within this theme. Future participants

² Cf. Camilo Argibay and Simon Hupfel, [Calenda - La mobilisation de savoirs dans les espaces intermédiaires de l'action publique](#).

are also invited to reflect on the phenomena of competition or rivalry between intellectuals and political parties or conservative social movements.

Theme 3: Circulations and uses of conservative ideas within political parties' milieu

Several studies suggest that placing cultural issues on the political agenda in "illiberal" democracies was preceded by metapolitical mobilizations. In other words, conservatives conquered society before winning at the ballot box. For instance, after its electoral defeat in 2002, Fidesz founded several "civic committees" in order to develop a "conservative civil society" (Greskovits, 2017; Trencsényi, 2014). In Poland, the "Gazeta Polska Clubs" independent but close to the PiS, played a similar role by organizing conferences and demonstrations (Ślarzyński, 2018). The literature on "culture wars" invites us to consider the role of social movements and the "conservative civil society" in the articulation between cultural and political battles (Barša et al., 2022). Contributions falling under this theme will question social movements, militant groups (partisans, associations), religious or "pro-life" organizations, but also memorial associations (veterans, re-enactment, and historical clubs), as spaces for mobilization, co-production, dissemination, and use of conservative ideas. What about the reception and uses of these ideas among voters of conservative parties (Collovald, 2004; Damhuis, 2020)? Does the supply (including media) create the demand? Do the economic, political, and social contexts increase the potential support for the conservative political supply? What factors influence the conservative votes? The aim here is to complete the study of the ideological "supply" with an analysis of the "demand" and its "audiences", but also to question the relevance of the distinction between the ideological "supply" and the "demand" of ideas on the political and cultural markets.

Languages: English, French

Applications from PhD candidates are welcome.

Paper proposals including a short CV and an abstract of max. 500 words shall be sent to Anemona Constantin (anemona.constantin@hotmail.fr) and Valentin Behr (valentin.behr@gmail.com) by **Monday, 5 September 2022**. Abstracts should specify the topic of the presentation and the research methodology. Acceptance will be notified by mid-September 2022.

Funds are available to cover participants' travel and accommodation costs. Participants should inform the organizers if they need any funding for their trip to Prague. Participants will be asked to send a paper that will be circulated before the workshop to facilitate the discussion.

Bibliography

- Agrikoliansky Eric, Collovald Annie (2014), “Mobilisations conservatrices : comment les dominants contestent”, *Politix*, n° 2, p. 7-29
- Barša Pavel, Hesová Zora, Slačálek Ondřej (2022), *Central European Culture Wars. Beyond Post-Communism and Populism*, Prague: Filozofická fakulta UK
- Bermeo Nancy (2016), “On Democratic Backsliding,” *Journal of Democracy*, vol. 27, n° 1, p. 5-19
- Bourdieu Pierre, Boltanski Luc (1976), “La production de l’idéologie dominante”, *Actes de la recherche en sciences sociales*, n° 2-3, p. 3-73
- Collovald Annie (2004), *Le "populisme du FN" : un dangereux contresens*, Bellecombe-en-Bauges: Éditions du Croquant
- Damhuis Koen (2020), *Roads to the radical right: understanding different forms of electoral support for radical right-wing parties in France and the Netherlands*, Oxford: Oxford University Press
- Ghodsee R. Kristen (2014), “A Tale of “Two Totalitarianisms”: the Crisis of capitalism and the Historical Memory of Communism”, *History of the Present: A Journal of Critical History*, vol. 4, n° 2, p. 115-142
- Greskovits Béla (2017), “Rebuilding the Hungarian Right Through Civil Organization and Contention: The Civic Circles Movement”, *Robert Schuman Centre for Advanced Studies Research Paper*, n° 37
- Heurtaux Jérôme (2022), “L’illusion d’une nouvelle “fin de l’histoire” ? Les révolutions conservatrices en Europe Centrale”, *Revue de l’Union européenne*, n° 657, p. 215-220
- Kalb Don, Halmai Gabor (eds.) (2011), *Headlines of Nation, Subtexts of Class: working class populism and the return of the repressed in neoliberal Europe*, New York: Berghahn Books
- Kopeček, Michal, Wciślik Piotr (eds.) (2005), *Thinking through Transition: Liberal Democracy, Authoritarian Pasts and Intellectuals History in East Central Europe After 1989*, Budapest/New-York, CEU Press
- Laruelle Marlène (2022), “Illiberalism: a conceptual Introduction”, *East European Politics*, vol. 38, n° 2
- Mark James et al. (2019), *1989. A global history of Eastern Europe*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press
- Mudde Cas (2004), “The Populist Zeitgeist”, *Government and Opposition*, vol. 39, n° 4, p. 541-563
- Rupnik Jacques, (2017), “La démocratie illibérale en Europe centrale“, *Esprit*, Juin, n° 6

Ślarzyński Marcin (2018), "Rola klubów 'Gazety Polskiej' w sukcesie politycznym Prawa i Sprawiedliwości w 2015 roku. Aktorzy lokalni czy aktor ogólnokrajowej sfery publicznej III RP ?", *Przegląd Socjologiczny*, vol. 67, n° 2, p. 139-158

Trencsényi Balázs (2014), "Beyond Liminality? The Kulturkampf of the early 2000s in East Central Europe", *Boundary2*, n° 1, p. 135-152

Vaillant Marion (2021), "An Identitarian Europe ? Successes and Limits of the Diffusion of the French Identitarian Movement", *Illiberalism Studies Program Working Papers*, n° 7, May 2021.

Zalewski Frédéric (2016), "L'émergence d'une démocratie antilibérale en Pologne", *Revue d'études comparatives Est-Ouest*, n° 47, p. 57-86